Effect of Enterococcus faecium SF68[®] (FortiFlora[®]) administration in dogs with antibiotic responsive or small intestinal bacterial overgrowth diarrhoea



> Abstract

The objective of this study was to assess whether dogs suffering from small intestinal diarrhoea due to small intestinal bacterial overgrowth or antibiotic responsive diarrhoea would benefit from a combination of Purina Veterinary Diets® EN Gastroenteric® Canine Formula and Purina Veterinary Diets® FortiFlora® Canine Probiotic Nutritional Supplement (Enterococcus faecium SF68®). The study involved twenty-six adult dogs presenting with symptoms compatible with chronic small intestinal diarrhoea that could not be attributed to any specific cause. The dogs were randomly divided into two groups: A (EN Gastroenteric plus FortiFlora sachet) and B (EN Gastroenteric plus placebo sachet). Reassessment of symptoms was scheduled every seven days over a 20-day period. Faeces were characterised based on their consistency. Biochemical and haematological parameters of all dogs participating in the study were within normal limits throughout the entire study period. During the first week of the study, no statistically significant differences were found between groups A and B in the characteristics of the diarrhoea. After a 14-day period, comparisons between groups showed that there was a statistically significant difference (p = 0.0002) regarding resolution of diarrhoea in group A. During the third week of administration, group A maintained the positive outcome (p = 0.0001).

> Introduction

The aetiology of small intestine diarrheal syndromes in dogs may remain unclear, leading the clinician to provide symptomatic treatment. A common clinical entity in dogs is small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO), with chronic diarrhoea being the sole symptom in some cases.¹ A syndrome with analogous clinical manifestation is antibiotic responsive diarrhoea (ARD). To date, there are no diagnostic techniques that can provide an aetiological diagnosis for either of the above clinical entities.²

SIBO is defined as the abnormal increase of bacteria in the lumen of the duodenum and especially in the jejunum.^{3,4} A number of 10⁴ -10⁵ bacteria and 10⁸ per ml of normal small intestinal contents are found in the dog and cat respectively. Bacterial overgrowth is characterised by both an increase in the number of normal microflora and a similar increase of bacteria not found in healthy subjects. The latter has been associated with other diseases.¹ Conversely, an increase in the number of bacteria with no implication of pathogenic disease is characterised as idiopathic, primary or ARD. The latter occurs mostly in large breed dogs, especially in German Shepherds.² Affected dogs present diarrhoea, weight loss, flatulence and anorexia as a result of Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency. Diarrhoea is usually characterised by pasty texture, bad odour and the presence of excess fat in the faeces (steatorrhoea). Dogs may periodically vomit.¹ The appetite remains normal or increased, while some animals may present signs of pica or coprophagy.

In cases where clinical examination reveals no other indications as to the origin of diarrhoea (such as weakness or very marked weight loss, pica and /or coprophagy, poor hair

Rallis T.S.

DVM, PhD, Professor, Companion Animal Clinic (Unit of Medicine), School of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,11 St. Voutira Str., 546 27 Thessaloniki, GR

Pardali D.

DVM, PhD, Lecturer, Diagnostic Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,11 St. Voutira Str., 546 27 Thessaloniki, GR

Adamama-Moraitou K.K.

DVM, PhD, Associate Professor, Companion Animal Clinic (Unit of Medicine), School of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,11 St. Voutira Str., 546 27 Thessaloniki, GR

Kavarnos I.

DVM, MSc, PhD student, Companion Animal Clinic (Unit of Medicine), School of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,11 St. Voutira Str., 546 27 Thessaloniki, GR

Corresponding author: Pardali Dimitra

11 St. Voutira Str., 546 27 Thessaloniki, GR dpardali@vet.auth.gr 6972600980

Keywords



- Antibiotic responsive diarrhoea
- Diarrhoea
- Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth

coat condition), and the results of biochemical and haematological examination shows no evidence of a serious disorder, the clinician often prescribes symptomatic treatment and dietary modification.

> Materials and methods

The current study included all suspected cases of chronic diarrhoea due to SIBO (particularly common in German Shepherds and other large breed dogs)² or ARD to participate in a nutritional double-blinded placebo-controlled clinical trial investigating the outcome of the combination of a highly digestible diet formulated for intestinal disorders (Purina Veterinary Diets* EN Gastroenteric* Canine Formula, Purina) and the probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* SF68* (Purina Veterinary Diets* FortiFlora* Canine Probiotic Nutritional Supplement, Purina) proven efficacious in dogs suffering from diarrhoea. All dogs were enrolled after obtaining their owners' written consent.

Dogs with diarrhoea attributed to infectious diseas-

es (parvovirus, in-
fectious hepatitis,
distemper), pro-
tozoa, bacteria or
parasites (Giardia,
Cryptosporidium),
neoplasms of
the small bowel,
food allergy or
intolerance to
food ingredients
were excluded
from the study, as
well as dogs with
abnormal bio-
chemical or hae-
matological find-
ings, clinical signs
compatible with
exocrine pancre-
atic insufficiency
or intestinal ma-
labsorption syn-
drome.
uiune.

Twenty-six adult dogs were included in the study all of whom were presented to the Companion Animal Clinic of the School of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki displaying symptoms compatible with chronic small intestinal diarrhoea at least 15 days prior to admission. Owners had habitually fed their dogs with either a commercial dry dog food or a combination of dry food and homemade meals. Signalment of all dogs enrolled in the study is presented in Table 1.

All dogs with clinical findings compatible with SIBO and ARD were included in the study, and were allocated to group A or B. Group allocation was random, and the clinician responsible for the clinical evaluation was unaware of the dog's group throughout the whole study period.

Dogs in group A were fed Purina Veterinary Diets^{*} EN Gastroenteric^{*} Canine Formula (dry) according to their daily needs, divided into two meals of equal quantity, plus a Purina Veterinary Diets^{*} FortiFlora^{*} Canine Probiotic Nutritional Supplement (*Enterococcus faecium* SF68^{*}) sachet for a 20-day period. FortiFlora^{*} ingredients include meat and animal derivatives, yeasts, and minerals plus gut flora stabilisers: *Enterococcus faecium* SF68^{*} (E1705): 1x10¹² CFU/kg and trace elements (Fe, I, Cu, Mn, Zn, and Se); its key nutrient values are 50% protein, 11% fat, 9% crude ash, and 1% crude fibres.

Dogs in group B were fed with the same clinical diet as group A and a placebo sachet for a 20-day period. The placebo sachet contained the same ingredients as FortiFlora^{*} mix without *Enterococcus faecium* SF68^{*}.

Reassessment of symptoms was scheduled for every seven days over a 20-day period and included a complete history, physical examination, body weight assessment, faecal scoring, biochemical (albumin, glucose, BUN, Crea, ALP, ALT, Ca, P, K, Na) and haematological (PCV, WBCs, RBCs, PLTs, white cells type) profile analysis, faecal examination (plain and after flotation technique) and urinalysis.

Prior to enrolment, all dogs underwent a serology test (Snap Giardia test, IDEXX Laboratories) and faecal examination for *Giardia spp.* and cytology smears prepared by swab samples taken from the rectal mucosal surface for the detection of *Cryptosporidium*.

Faeces were characterised based on their consistency according to the Nestlé Purina Faecal Scoring System, modified by the authors for the purposes of the study:⁵

- Normal: (fully-formed, segmented appearance, firm)
- Paste +: (moderate water content, mild and pasty with log shape, loses shape when picked up)
- Paste + +: (high water content, pasty appearance, has texture but no defined shape)

Watery: (very high water content, no texture, flat)
Any dog that presented with faecal scoring paste + or more was considered to have diarrhoea.

α/α	Group	Breed	Gender	Age (years)	Body weight (kg)
1	А	Irish setter	ď	1	12
2		Mongrel	ď	1	5
3		Mongrel	ď	3	5,4
4		Malinois	ď	2	23,7
5		Yorkshire terrier	ę	6,5	3,9
6		Siberian husky	ę	15	26,5
7		Great Dane	ę	1	29
8		German shepherd dog	ď	3,5	27,5
9		Poodle	ę	4	10
10		Mongrel	ę	5	17,5
11		English bulldog	ę	2	19
12		Mongrel	ď	3	21
13		Hellenic shepherd dog	ę	1	39
14		German shepherd dog	ď	2	21
15		German shepherd dog	ę	3	19
16		Maltese	ď	1	7
17	В	Mongrel	ď	1	12,5
18		English bulldog	ď	3	19
19		Mongrel	ę	2	8
20		Epagneul Breton	ę	7	21
21		English pointer	ę	5	27
22		Mongrel	ę	4	22
23		Siberian husky	Ŷ	2	17
24		Mongrel	ਾ	1	12
25		Mongrel	ਾ	3	13
26		Poodle	Q	2	9

Table 2. Faecal scoring from the 26 dogs of groups A and B that participated in the 20-day period study											
	Day 0		Day 7		Day 14		Day 20				
	Group A	Group B									
	(n=16)	(n=10)	(n=16)	(n=10)	(n=16)	(n=10)	(n=16)	(n=10)			
Normal	0	0	6	0	12	0	16	1			
Paste +	2	4	8	4	4	4	0	3			
Paste ++	10	4	2	6	0	6	0	6			
Watery	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Comparisons of the clinical parameters between groups A and B were performed with Fisher's exact test using the statistical package SPSS 17.0. The level of significance was set at 5% (p <0.05).

> Results

Biochemical and haematological parameters of all dogs participating in the study were within normal limits, throughout the entire study period. Serology, faecal examination and cytology from the rectum for *Giardia spp.* and *Cryptosporidium*, respectively, were negative in all dogs.

Dogs in both groups were adults of various breeds (Table 1) that presented with small intestinal diarrhoea (frequency, quantity per voiding, odour, and consistency). None of the animals in both groups presented with vomiting, fever or melena. The duration of diarrhoea ranged from 7 to 20 days. At admission, the owners of all dogs were willing to proceed with symptomatic treatment and dietary measures before moving on to a more sophisticated laboratory investigation of the chronic diarrhoea. This further investigation is necessary in cases of recurrence. The dogs were randomly divided into two groups (clinical symptoms and laboratory evaluations were identical for all dogs participating in the study).

During the first week of the study, no statistically significant differences were found between groups A and B in terms of the characteristics of the diarrhoea. However, in 6/16 dogs in group A, the diarrhoea completely resolved, whereas none of the animals in group B showed any improvement in this regard. Comparisons between groups A and B showed that there was a statistically significant difference (p = 0.0002) in the resolution of diarrhoea (after a 14-day period) in group A whose diet was supplemented with FortiFlora® in contrast to group B who received the placebo sachet. During the third week of administration, group A maintained the positive outcome achieved during the second week of the study (p = 0.0001). Faecal scores over time for all dogs in both groups are presented in Table 2.

The cause of small intestinal chronic diarrhoea in the dog, despite a thorough clinical and laboratory examination, may remain unclear. In this study, dogs with chronic small intestinal diarrhoea and primary aetiology suspected as SIBO or ARD were entered into a placebo-controlled clinical trial to determine whether symptomatic relief could be achieved by feeding them a highly digestible diet indicated for intestinal disorders (Purina Veterinary Diets^{*} EN Gastroenteric^{*} Canine Formula) in combination with the probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* SF68^{*} (FortiFlora^{*}).

The results of biochemical and haematological evaluation of both groups of animals were within normal limits.

> Discussion

Regarding the aetiology of diarrhoea, only assumptions can be made. It is known that large breed dogs, and especially German Shepherds, may suffer from chronic diarrhoea as a consequence of a clinical entity characterised as ARD.^{6,7} In this clinical study, there were 6/16 large breed dogs (3 German Shepherds, 1 Hellenic shepherd, 1 Malinois, and 1 Great Dane) in group A. For the rest of the dogs in groups A and B, it can be alleged that although the primary cause was not detected at this stage, they suffered from SIBO. Progress of the relevant cases showed that bacterial overgrowth did not seem to be associated with severe intestinal diseases (e.g. inflammatory bowel disease, villous atrophy, intestinal lymphoma, lymphangiectasia, etc.) or exocrine pancreatic insufficiency.

The combined administration of a clinical diet specifically designed for intestinal disorders (Purina Veterinary Diets^{*} EN Gastroenteric^{*}) offers high digestibility, which promotes high nutrient absorption and helps minimise the dietary load on the compromised gut; it also contains the prebiotic inulin, which improves microbial balance by stimulating the growth of beneficial bacteria, and the probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* SF68^{*} (FortiFlora^{*}, Purina). This diet is proven to promote intestinal health and balance, and was effective as symptomatic treatment in helping to relieve chronic diarrhoea in the dogs in group A.

Purina Veterinary Diets[®] EN Gastroenteric[®] is a highly digestible diet which contains the proven prebiotic inulin (shown to improve microbial balance by stimulating the growth of beneficial bacteria).

Effect of Enterococcus faecium SF68® (FortiFlora®) administration



Probiotics (like SF68°) have been proven to be safe and effective in regulating microbiota balance, gastrointestinal and systemic immune functioning in healthy cats and dogs.7,8,9,10 Entercoccus faecium SF68° (NCIMB10415) is a probiotic with proven effectiveness against diarrhoea.^{11,12,13} SF68° has a shorter replication time, is more stable at acidic pH, and has a more inhibitory action against pathogenic E.coli growth in vitro compared to the probiotic Lactobacillus acidophilus. In addition, SF68° was found to be safe and well-tolerated at 500 times its therapeutic dose in pigs. Although the composition of Purina Veterinary Diets" EN Gastroenteric" is appropriate for the nutritional management of disorders of the gastrointestinal tract, our results suggest it may act complementary to FortiFlora® with excellent results. According to our results, and taking into account that 6/16 dogs in group A were large breed dogs that are more prone to microflora imbalances, FortiFlora[®] could help to resolve diarrhoea guicker. As mentioned, inulin is the prebiotic source contained in EN Gastroenteric[®]. Previous studies have demonstrated that inulin and Enterococcus faecium SF° display complementary characteristics¹⁴ and the combination of inulin with Enterococcus faecium SF* improves the survival of the latter in the intestinal tract.15

The immune system plays an important role in preventing the adherence of inappropriate bacteria to enterocytes, with secretory IgA playing a particularly significant role.¹⁶ In a previous study with dogs supplemented with the probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* (SF68^{*}), faecal IgA levels were shown to increase.¹⁷ Given that the dogs entered in this study were likely to have been suffering from SIBO, the positive effects of FortiFlora^{*} on the resolution of diarrhoea may have been mediated by a modulation of gut immunological responses. The lack of effect of Purina Veterinary Diets^{*} EN Gastroenteric^{*} alone in this particular study may relate to the specific condition of SIBO; and our results indicate that a highly digestible gastrointestinal diet in combination with a proven probiotic such as FortiFlora^{*} (SF68^{*}) could be considered for nutritional management of this disorder.

FortiFlora^{*} contains guaranteed levels of viable microorganisms (minimum 1×10^8 CFU/g SF68^{*}), a level proven to promote intestinal health and balance.¹⁵ According to our experience and that of other authors,¹⁸ the minimum daily per os dose should be at least 1×10^8 CFU/unit.

Duration of usage may run into months for chronic diarrhea due to the presence inflammatory bowel disease.¹⁰

According to the results of our study, probiotics may act synergistically to a clinical diet specific for gastrointestinal disorders and help alleviate diarrhoea which is not associated with severe enteropathy or exocrine pancreatic insufficiency.

> References

1. Rutgers HC, Batt RM, Elwood CM & Lamport A. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in dogs with chronic Intestinal disease. J Am Vet Med Asoc 1995, **206**: 187-193.

2. German AJ. Bacterial overgrowth (Intestinal Dysbiosis). In: Canine and Feline Gastroenterology. RJ Washabau and MJ Day (eds). Elsevier: St Louis, Missouri, 2013, pp. 695-699.

3. Johnston KL. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 1999, **29**: 523-550.

4. Marks SL Editorial: Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in dogs, less common than you think? J Vet Intern Med 2003, **17**: 5-7.

 Lappin MR. Effect of probiotics on selected acute and chronic disease syndromes in dogs and cats. In: Critical Care Updates on Canine & Feline Health. Proceedings of The North American Veterinary Conference (Orlando, FL) / Western Veterinary Conference Las Vegas, NV, 2012, pp. 13-18.

 Batt RM, McLearn L. Comparison of the biochemical changes in jejunal mucosa of dogs with aerobic and anaerobic bacterial overgrowth. Gastroenterology 1987, 93: 986-993.

7. German AJ, Day MJ, Ruaux CG, Steiner JM, Williams DA & Hall EJ. Comparison of direct and indirect tests for small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and antibiotic-responsive diarrhea in dogs. J Vet Intern Med 2003, **17**: 33-43.

 Willard MD, Simpson RB, Delles EK, Cohen ND, Fossum TW, Kolp D & Reinhart G. Effect of dietary supplementation of fructo-oligosaccharides on small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in dogs. Am J Vet Res 1994, 55: 654-595.

9. Sparkes AH, Papasouliotis K, Sunvold G, Werrett G, Clarke C, Jones M, Gruffydd-Jones TJ & Reinhart G. Bacterial flora in the duodenum of healthy cats and effect of dietary supplementation with fructooligosaccharides.

Am J Vet Res 1998, 59: 431-435.

10. Bowles M (2013). Probiotic Agents. In: Canine and Feline Gastroenterology. RJ Washabau and MJ Day (eds). Elsevier: St Louis, Missouri, 2013, pp. 526-529.

 Camarri E, Belvisi A, Guidoni G, Marini G & Frigerio G. A doubleblind comparison of two different treatments for acute enteritis in adults. Chemotherapy 1981, 27: 466-470.

12. Buydens P & Debeuckelaere S. Efficacy of SF68 in the treatment of acute diarrhea. A placebo-controlled trial. Scand J Gastroenterol 1996, **31**: 887-891.

13. Bybee SN, Scorza AV & Lappin MR. Effect of the probiotic Enterococcus faecium SF68 on presence of diarrhea in cats and dogs housed in an animal shelter. J Vet Intern Med 2011, **25**: 856-860.

14. Awad W, Ghareed K & Bohm J. Intestinal structure and function of broiler chickens on diets supplemented with a synbiotic containing Enterococcus faecium and oligosaccharides. Int J Mol Sci 2008, **9**: 2205-2216.

 Bohmer BM, Branner GR & Roth-Maier DA. Precaecal and faecal digestibility of inulin (DP 10-12) or an inulin/Enterococcus faecium mix and effects on nutrient digestibility and microbial gut flora. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr 2005, 89: 388-396.

16. Suzuki K, Kawamoto S, Maruya M & Fagarasan S GALT: organization and dynamics leading to IgA synthesis. Adv Immunol 2010, **107**: 153-185.

17. Benyacoub J, Czarnecki-Maulden, GL, Cavadini C, Sauthier T., Anderson RE, Schiffirn EJ, Von der Weid T. Supplementation of food with Enterococcus faecium (SF68) stimulates immune functions in young dogs. J Nutr 2003, **133**: 1158-1162.

 Reynolds A, Simpson KW. Probiotics: enhancing gastrointestinal health-a roundtable discussion. Roundtable PVD ProbioticsVet, 2007, p. 1226.

