Canine elbow dysplasia
Aetiopathogenesis, diagnosis and current treatment recommendations

> ABSTRACT
Elbow dysplasia is a condition that causes pain and lameness in large and giant breed dogs. Its origins are genetic and when combined with environmental factors, development of the elbow joint becomes abnormal. Originally, elbow osteochondrosis was considered to be the main cause of this condition. Modern studies claim that the condition, in most cases, is caused by various forms of incongruity between articular surfaces of the three joints forming the elbow. Treatment is surgical and should be performed before the development of osteoarthritic lesions in the joint. Multiple surgical techniques to correct this condition are described in the literature. In cases when radiological examination of the elbow joint reveals severe osteoarthritic lesions, the selection of surgical technique depends on lesion localization.

> Introduction
Elbow dysplasia is a hereditary condition, characterized by the abnormal development of the elbow joint. Since 1993, the International Elbow Working Group (IEWG) has defined that hereditary pathological conditions affecting the elbow joint, reportedly referred to as «elbow dysplasia», include the ununited anconeal process (UAP), osteochondritis dissecans of the medial humeral condyle (OCD), medial coronoid disease (MCD) and incongruity between the articular surfaces of the bones forming the elbow joint (IC).1

The condition mainly affects large and giant breed dogs. Over-represented breeds include Bernese Mountain dogs,2 Labrador retrievers,3 Golden retrievers, Rottweilers and German shepherd dogs.4 Rapidly-growing male dogs are affected at double the frequency of female dogs;5 medium-sized, chondrodystrophic dog breeds (Dachshund, French bulldog) are affected less commonly.4 The main clinical sign of this condition is lameness, which can develop between three and ten months of age. In some dogs, lameness manifests in adulthood (>6 years old), due to MCD, without any history of lameness at a younger age.6 Both forelimbs are affected in 37-50% of cases.6

Due to the fact that MCD, OCD and IC form lesions in the internal anatomical constituents of the elbow joint (medial coronoid process of the ulna, semilunar ulnar notch and medial part of the humeral condyle), and also because these three abnormal conditions may appear in combination, the more generic term «medial compartment disease» (MCoD) has been suggested as a replace-ment for previous terms.9

> Aetiopathogenesis
The genetic origins of elbow dysplasia have been investigated with several, large-scale epidemiologic papers. Based on the latter, the disease appears to be inherited differently in each dog breed. Moreover, it has been commonly hypothesized that each form of elbow dysplasia is inherited separately from the rest. The conclusion is that elbow dysplasia is caused by several genetic conditions, which disrupt the development of the elbow joint by several pathogenetic mechanisms. Due to the complexity of its hereditary transmission and the role of environmental factors in the development of the disease, it is maintained that the genetic investigation of elbow dysplasia is impossible in the immediate future.10,11,12

Three aetiopathogenic mechanisms have been reported for elbow dysplasia: osteochondritis dissecans,13 elbow incongruity17 and rotatory instability of the radioulnar joint.16

These mechanisms result from genetic predilection in combination with secondary predisposing factors, such as a diet of high caloric density and rigorous exercise, and constitute the main causes for the overt manifestation of the disease.9

In current studies, it is maintained that out of the three aetiopathogenic mechanisms, the most likely to cause overt disease is elbow incongruity. In some dogs, osteochondrosis is an important cause. The mechanism for distal radioulnar joint
rotatory instability is currently investigated.

**A. Osteochondrosis**

According to the standard theory, osteochondrosis is responsible for the formation of lesions in the medial coronoid process, the humeral condyle and the growth plate of the anconeal process.15,18

In normal animals, the cartilaginous medial coronoid process undergoes ossification from its base to its peak. It has been proven that although it lacks a separate ossification centre, it has the same ossification centre as the ulnar diaphysis. The ossification process is complete by the age of 5-5½ months. If the endochondral ossification of the cartilaginous medial coronoid process is disrupted, necrosis of the deeper cartilage cells is imminent, resulting in cartilage softening and the formation of erosions. These fragments of the medial coronoid process usually undergo calcification because their perfusion, which occurs through fibrous attachments to the annular ligament, is disrupted.

This theory contrasts with a more recent paper, which was based on the histopathologic examination of the coronoid process in clinical cases.19 According to the latter, osteochondritis dissecans lesions were not discovered in the affected segments of the medial coronoid process; however, changes consistent with fractures were detected. It is concluded that such lesions are caused by powerful and constant forces on the cartilaginous medial coronoid process and the formation of stress fractures, which inhibit the ossification of its fibrous tissue.

**B. Elbow incongruity.**

The elbow joint is a composite joint, formed by three specific articulations, including the humeroradial joint (the capitulum of the humeral condyle articulates with the proximal surface of the head of the radius), the humeroulnar joint (the trochlea humeri articulates with the trochlear or semilunar ulnar notch and the medial coronoid process) and the radioulnar joint (the posterior articular surface of the head of the radius articulates with the radial notch of the ulna).18

A difference in length between the radius and the ulna and humeroulnar incongruity have been previously reported as forms of incongruity.

**B1. Difference in length between the radius and the ulna (radioulnar incongruity)**

This difference is caused by asynchronous longitudinal growth of the two bones. Two forms have been reported: in the first form, the radius is shorter than the ulna or the radial head is located laterally to the medial coronoid process (short radius syndrome).15,20 In the second form, the ulna is shorter than the radius or the radial physis is located medially to the medial coronoid process (short ulna syndrome).21

In the shortened radius syndrome, the magnitude of weight-bearing forces are transported by the trochlea humeri to the medial coronoid process. The intense shearing forces lead to stress-induced damage in the subchondral bone of the medial coronoid process resulting in the formation of fissures or fragmentations (Figure 1).21

According to the above and based on linear forces being exerted on the joint, MCD and UAP can be explained. With the linear forces theory, however, the combination of MCD and UAP in clinical cases cannot be justified.

In order to interpret the combination of these abnormal conditions, a new theory has been suggested based on the action of rotational forces (rotational force theory).24 According to this theory, there are once more two variations related to the difference of length between the radius and the ulna. In the shortened radius syndrome, which is less frequently observed, the linear force theory and the rotational force theory are relatively similar. In contrast, in the shortened ulna syndrome, which is more commonly reported, the rotational theory differs from the linear force theory.

According to the rotational force theory, if the radius grows in length faster than the ulna, the radial head exerts forces with a central direction to the humeral condyle. Initially, the displacement of the humerus medially is prevented by the anconeal process. Later, as the radius continues to grow in length, so do the loads placed on the humeral condyle, which begins to externally rotate. As the humerus rotates externally, the caudal aspect of the humeral condyle impinges on the lateral surface of the base of the anconeal process. If this process occurs prior to the ossification of the growth plate of the anconeal process, UAP may develop. The continuous medial advancement of the humeral bone, combined with its simultaneous rotation, places intense loads and leads to abrasions upon the point of contact of the caudal medial surface of the humeral condyle with the lateral surface of the ulnar trochlear notch. At this point, lesions
caused by friction are formed in the cartilage of the humeral condyle, as well as in the cartilage of the trochlear notch. The continuous loads placed by the radial head on the humeral condyle, combined with the effect of the anconeal process as a lever, lead to the downward displacement of the trochlea humeri and the compression of the medial coronoid process. The compression and friction result in the formation of lesions in the medial coronoid process, as well as the medial part of the humeral condyle.

Finally, as rotation of the humerus continues to increase, especially when there is no UAP, rostral displacement of the trochlea humeri follows, resulting in its displacement from the trochlear notch of the ulna, thus causing elbow subluxation.

B2. Humeroulunar incongruity

This is noted when the radius of curvature of the trochlear notch of the ulna is smaller than the radius of curvature of the trochlea humeri or when the trochlear notch of the ulna has an elliptical shape. This geometrical abnormality subsequently results in malarticulation of the trochlea humeri with the trochlear notch, resulting in abnormal forces and loads on the medial coronoid process.25,26 It has been noted that in Bernese mountain dogs there is a predilection for the formation of an elliptical trochlear notch.2

C. Posterolateral rotatory instability of the proximal radioulnar joint

When there is incongruity of the caudal articular surface of the radius with the radial notch of the ulna, the lateral pull of the biceps brachii and the brachialis muscle causes external rotation of the ulna (over the radius) during flexion of the joint. Rotation results in compression of the two articular surfaces and damage to the medial coronoid process and radial notch.27

Diagnosis

Each form of elbow dysplasia may develop as a single condition or, more commonly, in combination. Unrelated to the form of dysplasia, clinical signs are always similar, thus inhibiting identification of the form by physical examination alone. Clinical signs usually begin from the age of 3-10 months.

During observation of dogs with elbow dysplasia, a stiff gait is noted following a period of rest, or lameness manifests after exercise. When the disorder affects both forelimbs, detection of lameness can be challenging. In a standing position, the affected limb is abducted and the antebrachium and toes are externally rotated (supination). This position helps to reduce the forces applied to the medial compartment of the elbow.28

One of the most reliable findings during physical examination is pain manifesting upon palpation as well as during passive range motions of the elbow joint. Pain manifests upon deep palpation of the insertion of the biceps brachii muscle into the ulnar tuberosity which is located on its internal surface, over the medial aspect of the coronoid process.35 Moreover, pain manifests during extreme flexion of the joint in combination with external rotation of the antebrachium.

The manifestation of pain during physical examination, combined with the absence of any other recognizable cause of lameness or pain, is an important finding that supports elbow dysplasia. In the case of UAP, a synovial fluid effusion may be observed.36 In MCD, the presence of joint effusion is uncommon.

In dogs with the chronic form of the disorder and simultaneous presence of secondary osteoarthritis, a decrease in joint motion range is observed along with crepitation, muscular atrophy and swelling of the elbow joint.

Cases of adult dogs have been reported to show unilateral or bilateral lameness of the forelimbs due to MCD, with no history of lameness at a younger age.7

The differential diagnosis should always include every possible cause of frontal limb lameness such as disorders of the shoulder joint, tendon injury, neurological causes of lameness and neoplasia.

For a definitive diagnosis and identification of the form of elbow dysplasia, imaging investigation of the elbow joint is essential. Imaging can be performed with standard radiography, computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Primary findings of elbow dysplasia may be missed in radiographs. In such cases, diagnosis is based on detecting secondary findings of osteoarthritis, which develop after the age of seven months.

Radiographs contribute poorly to the diagnosis of MCD. For example, erosions in the articular cartilage of the condyle or fissures in the subchondral bone of the medial coronoid process cannot be viewed with standard radiography.31,32,33,34

In a dog with clinical signs of elbow dysplasia, if no lesions are found on standard radiographs or if such lesions are unclear, further diagnostic investigation is warranted by CT or MRI. With CT, the bone structures of the elbow joint are viewed without superimposition.35 For this reason, its specificity and sensitivity are greater than those of radiography (Table 1).8,36

### Table 1. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of plain radiography, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and arthroscopy in the diagnosis of MCD.8,36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
<th>Diagnostic Accuracy %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiography</td>
<td>23.5-28.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>56.7-77.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed tomography</td>
<td>71-88.2</td>
<td>84-84.6</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging with a calcified coronoid process</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging with a cartilaginous medial coronoid process</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthroscopy</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nevertheless, it is possible that CT and MRI may not clearly visualize subchondral bone lesions in the medial coronoid process. If the findings of all imaging modalities are unclear, arthroscopy is recommended. In 37-50% of cases, the disorder affects both forelimbs, rendering imaging investigation of both joints necessary.8

For conventional radiographic evaluation, good quality radiographs are required with the dog correctly placed. It is necessary to sedate or fully anaesthetize the animals. In all positions, the limb is placed on top of the cassette without the use of a grid. Furthermore, radiography should include the shoulder joint for possible osteochondritis dissecans which may be the cause of lameness or may coexist with elbow dysplasia.

In order to depict all of the basic anatomical segments of the joint and all the possible pathological conditions, radiographs should be obtained with the limb in four separate views. The latter include the mediolateral projection with the elbow extended, the maximally flexed mediolateral projection, the cranio-caudal view and external oblique or cranio-lateral-caudo-medial oblique projection (15°). In the former two views, the dog is positioned in lateral recumbency and in the latter in sternal recumbency.

A. Mediolateral projection with the elbow extended

In this view, the angle between the humerus and the antebrachium should be about 110°. The limb is positioned in such a way that there is superimposition of the humeral condyles.

Visualization of a normal elbow in a dog older than six months is characterized by articular surface congruity and uniform narrow joint spaces between the humerus, the radius and the ulna. Additionally, the edge of the trochlear notch of the ulna and the radial head should form an arch with a smooth outline (Figure 2).

With such positioning, in a dog less than one year of age with elbow dysplasia, one or more of the following abnormal findings may be noted:

1. Increased joint space in the humeroulnar articulation along the internal surface of the trochlear notch.
2. Increased joint space in the humeroradial articulation.
3. Interruption of the smooth outline of the arch formed by the ulnar trochlear notch and the articular surface of the radius. This abnormal finding is called «step».34
4. Fragmented medial coronoid process, which can be simple or compound. The normal medial coronoid process is seen as a triangular area of subchondral bone with a sharp outline, superimposing over the radial head. Fracture of the medial coronoid process is seen only in 9.8% of cases.
5. Mild increase of bone radiopacity (osteosclerosis) and loss of trabecular bone along the med-
the age of 3½ - 5½ months. If the physis remains visible in radiographs of older dogs, it is considered pathognomonic for UAP. The remaining physis is seen as a radiolucent space with unclear margins between the anconeal process and the olecranon (Figure 4).

C. Craniocaudal view of the elbow joint
In this view, the dog is placed in sternal recumbency and the limb intended for radiographic evaluation is held with the humerus vertical to the axis of the spinal column, the elbow joint is held in contact with the cassette, and the antebrachium is rostrally extended.

In such a position, the characteristic OCD lesion can be visualized as early as 5-6 months of age as a radiolucent area or collapse of the medial compartment, or even as a defect in the subchondral bone of the articular surface of the humeral trochlea.

D. Craniolateral-caudomedial oblique projection (-15°) of the elbow.
In this view, the dog is placed in sternal recumbency and the limb intended for radiographic evaluation is positioned as for the craniocaudal view except that the antebrachium is rotated externally by 15° (pronation). The radiographic beam is focused on the centre of the elbow joint. In this position, the articular surface of the trochlea humeri and the medial coronoid process are more clearly visualized.

Current treatment options
Treatment for elbow dysplasia is surgical and should be performed before the development of osteoarthritic lesions in the joint. If surgical treatment is delayed, the development of osteoarthritis cannot usually be adequately controlled. Unfortunately, the complexity of aetiopathogenesis, physical examination and imaging findings render diagnosis in the early stages of the disease somewhat of a challenge.

Surgical techniques to correct elbow dysplasia described in this paper are classified as surgical procedures to correct MCoD in dogs with mild osteoarthritis or moderate or severe osteoarthritis, and to correct the UAP.

1. Surgical procedures for MCoD in dogs with mild osteoarthritis.
a. MCD.
Even though several research studies have been undertaken to select the appropriate modality in order to correct MCD, controversy still surrounds the procedure of choice up to the present day. The results of research studies vary. However, normal function of the limb has been reported in most procedures for a short length of time after surgery, and exacerbation of osteoarthritis has been noted in the mid- to long-term outcome. In fact, some authors report that surgical treatment does not alter the long-term prognosis, and they prefer to manage MCD with conservative treatment.

Surgical procedures that have been described in the treatment of MCD can be classified under two categories. Focal procedures via arthrotomy or arthroscopy can be placed under the first category, whereas the second category includes proximal ulnar osteotomy which can be performed in combination with focal procedures.

Among the focal techniques, those that have been researched the most are the removal of the medial coronoid process or fragments of the medial coronoid process, as well as subtotal medial coronoid ostectomy.

Removal of the fragment or fragments of the medial coronoid process always leads to osteoarthritis and persistence of lameness because degenerative lesions remain in the subchondral bone. For that reason, simultaneous partial osteotomy of a large segment of the medial coronoid process was recommended, in which most of the diseased subchondral bone is included (Figure 5).

Subtotal medial coronoid ostectomy, which can be performed with an osteotome or an air saw, has a caudal medial direction from the periphery of the medial coronoid process toward the external...
aspect of the radial notch of the ulna.

The attachments of the annular ligament are released from the osteotomized bone fragment and the fragment itself is removed.\textsuperscript{42,43} The joint is then irrigated with normal saline and subsequently injected with 1 mg/kg body weight of a 0.5% bupivacaine solution; the surgical site is sutured.

Insufficient comparative studies have been conducted concerning the results of partial ostectomy of the medial coronoid process and the simple removal of medial coronoid process fragments. As a consequence, more research is required in order to determine the indications and postoperative outcome of the aforementioned procedures in everyday clinical practice.\textsuperscript{43}

If MCD coexists with short radius syndrome, in order to manage the severe load-bearing forces placed upon the medial compartment of the elbow joint, focal treatment is combined with proximal ulnar osteotomy.\textsuperscript{44}

It is common for MCD to coexist with lesions on the articular cartilage of the humeral trochlea, treatment for which includes flap removal and debridement of the area.

b. Short radius syndrome

Treatment of the short radius syndrome aims to repair the congruity between the articular surfaces of the radioulnar articulation by bringing the articular surfaces of the radius and ulna on the same level. This objective is accomplished surgically by proximal ulnar osteotomy.\textsuperscript{25,45}

After ulnar osteotomy, the articular surface of the ulna is transported laterally by weight-bearing forces, resulting in alignment of the articular surfaces of the radius and ulna on the same level. This procedure is selected when in the mediolateral radiograph of the elbow joint the difference of level (step) between the articular surfaces of the radius and ulna is wider than 2 mm.

Ulnar osteotomy is performed by two parallel oblique ulnar osteotomies with a distance between them that is equal to the difference in level between the ulnar-radial articular surfaces that is visualized on the mediolateral radiograph. The osteotomies are oblique to the longitudinal axis of the ulna and their direction is caudal medial to rostrolateral with a 40° angle to the longitudinal axis and mediolateral with a 50° angle to the longitudinal axis (Figure 6).\textsuperscript{20}

Alignment of the osteotomized ulnar fragments may be secured with an intramedullary pin.\textsuperscript{46}

c. Rotatory instability of the radioulnar joint.

In order to neutralize the shearing forces formed between the radius and the ulna during flexion of the elbow joint due to existing incongruity between the caudal articular surface of the radius and the radial notch of the ulna, combined with the lateral position of the insertions of the biceps brachii and brachialis muscles to the anatomical axis of the antebrachium, a surgical technique was recently published that released the insertion tendons of the biceps brachii and brachialis muscles from the ulna (biceps-brachial ulnar release procedure, BURP).\textsuperscript{27}

The insertion tendon of the biceps brachii muscle has two branches. The one branch is inserted into the radial tuberosity (medial part of the frontal aspect of the radial head), whereas the other branch, along with the tendon of the brachialis muscle is inserted into the ulnar tuberosity in the form of a wide «fan» (intra-articular medial surface of the coronoid process). In the biceps-brachial ulnar release procedure, surgical release of the insertions is performed on the inner surface of the medial coronoid process. Topical surgical access can be provided via minimally invasive arthrotomy or arthroscopy.

The BURP technique is indicated in young dogs with lameness, manifestation of pain during joint palpation, in which either imaging or arthroscopy confirm that the degree of articular cartilage and subchondral bone damage is mild (few fissures present and mild subchondral bone sclerosis of the radial notch area).\textsuperscript{28}

Elbow joint flexion remains unaffected after the procedure because the remaining second insertion of the biceps brachii on the radius remains intact.

d. OCD.

The standard procedure for managing OCD of the humeral trochlea includes the removal of the detached cartilage as well as trimming part of the articular cartilage from the rim of the lesion, aiming at the removal of the inflammatory nidus from the joint. Subsequently, the rim of the defect site is debrided to create a vertical defect perimeter so that implanting fibrocartilage into the defect will not be impeded.\textsuperscript{47} Prior to suturing the articular capsule, the joint is irrigated thoroughly with normal saline to remove friction-made debris due to the cartilage flap.

In order to stimulate and promote the healing response of the articular cartilage after the removal of any detached cartilage segments, arthroplasty
2. Surgical procedures

Articular cartilage restoration procedures have been described.

The goal of arthroplasty procedures is to create vascular channels from the subchondral bone to the defect area. The introduction of blood in the defect provides haematopoietic and mesenchymal stem cells as well as developmental factors to promote the healing process and improve the quality of fibrocartilage tissue formation so as to resemble hyaline cartilage more closely.

The arthroplasty techniques include curettage and osteoparacentesis.

Curettage is the removal of detached or abnormal cartilage with a curette, as well as debridement of the affected subchondral bone to the level of the healthy bleeding bone. The main disadvantage of curettage is the extensive damage to the subchondral bone, as well as the formation of a wide and deep defect, resulting in delay of healing and the creation of poor quality fibrocartilage tissue.

Osteoparacentesis involves the formation of several canals in the subchondral bone with a narrow Kirschner pin, to the point of bleeding. Compared to the curettage technique, less subchondral bone damage is incurred.

The articular cartilage replacement procedures aim at repairing the defect with hyalineous cartilage. This is accomplished by placing an osteochondral autograft in the defect site. Specifically, after removal of the osteochondral flap, an appropriate recipient socket is formed in the chondral defect to accept the osteochondral graft, which will cover the eroded area (Osteochondral Autograft Transfer System, OATS, Arthrex, Naples, FL). The osteochondral graft is collected by a special process from the articular surface of the knee joint.1

2. Surgical procedures

to manage MCoD in dogs with moderate or severe osteoarthritis.

Modern procedures, applied in cases of osteoarthritis lesions in the medial compartment only, include sliding humeral osteotomy (SHO) and proximal ulnar osteotomy followed by fixation of bone fragments in a new position resulting in limb abduction (proximal abducting ulnar osteotomy, PAUL). The aim of such procedures is to reduce load-bearing forces on the eroded medial compartment and transfer such forces to the healthy lateral compartment.

In the SHO procedure, a transverse osteotomy is performed on the middle of the humeral diaphysis and the two resulting bone fragments are fixed in a new position with a sliding metal plate, which has a «step» at its middle. With the aid of the aforementioned special sliding metal plate, the peripheral section of the humerus is translocated medially to the mechanical axis of the humerus-antebrachium. This lateral transposition of the mechanical axis results in shifting load-bearing forces away from the affected articular cartilage of the humeroulnar joint and towards the normal articular cartilage of the humeroradial joint (Figures 7a and 7b).48,49

In a study including 59 elbow dysplasia cases on which the SHO procedure was performed, a good to excellent mid-term outcome was noted.49 Specifically, no lameness was reported at 26 weeks post surgery in 65.6% of cases and in 31.3% of cases, the presence of lameness was mild. However, severe complications have been noted due to fixation of the metal plate on the humerus and it is estimated that more studies are required with a larger number of cases in order to evaluate the long-term postoperative, before this procedure can be established in the clinical setting.

In the most recent PAUL procedure, a transverse proximal ulnar osteotomy is performed and the two ulnar fragments are fixed in a new position with a special sliding metal plate with a 2 to 3 mm «step» at its middle (KION, Zurich, Switzerland) (Figure 8).50 In this new position, the ulna causes abduction of the antebrachium, enabling the unloading of the medial compartment and the transfer of load-bearing forces to the lateral compartment of the elbow joint (Figure 9). Even though this is a promising procedure, there have been no extensive studies to analyze the success rate and potential complications from its use.

In cases of severe osteoarthritis lesions in both compartments of the elbow joint, surgical options include arthrodesis or total elbow replacement.

Elbow arthrodesis offers relief from pain due to chronic osteoarthritis; however, there is severe loss of function in the limb.49 Even though total elbow arthroplasty has been studied for over 10 years, it is rarely used in a clinical setting due to several technical difficulties, a high complication rate and an unpredictable outcome.51 Total elbow replacement should only be attempted in elbows with severe osteoarthritis, and only after the owner’s informed consent because there is a high risk of several complications.

3. Surgical procedures for UAP

It is possible to surgically correct UAP with three separate procedures, including proximal abduction ulnar osteotomy, removal of the anconeal process and lag screw fixation of the anconeal process on the ulna.52 Performing a proximal ulnar osteotomy results in relocating the proximal ulnar fragment medially due to the pull of the triceps brachii muscle, repairing radio-ulnar congruity as a consequence, and offering relief for the anconeal process from pressure exerted by the humeral condyle.25,53 The direction of ulnar osteotomy is similar to the direction of proximal ulnar osteotomy. The two ulnar fragments are then released or fixed with an intramedullary pin.

The procedure for lag screw fixation of the anconeal process is used on dogs younger than six months of age, with a normal trochlear notch.

The best postoperative results reported in the literature relate to screw fixation of the anconeal process combined with proximal ulnar osteotomy.14

![Figure 7(a).](image1.png) The arrow represents the mechanical axis of the humerus-antebrachium. The heaviest loads are transported on the medial segment of the elbow joint.

![Figure 7(b).](image2.png) Following osteotomy of the humeral diaphysis and fixation of bone segments at a new position, there is lateral transposition of the mechanical axis (arrow).
Conclusions

Currently, it is maintained that the main cause for elbow dysplasia is IC, even though in some dogs OCD is involved. The most common form of incongruity is the asynchronous growth of the radius and ulna, in which the radial head is at a lower or higher level than the medial coronoid process, thus re-distributing intense forces on the anconeal process or the medial coronoid process respectively. It is maintained that the exact aetiopathogenic mechanism is complex and has not yet been fully elucidated.

The definitive diagnosis requires imaging or even arthroscopy. Computed tomography has a higher specificity and sensitivity in the diagnosis of elbow dysplasia than standard radiography.

Surgical treatment for elbow dysplasia should be considered before the development of severe osteoarthritic lesions. Surgical procedures include partial resection of the medial coronoid process and repair of length difference and congruity between the radial and ulnar articular surfaces by osteotomy or osteotomy. If joint lesions are severe and affect only the medial compartment, the SHO or PAUL techniques are used. If there are lesions across the entire elbow joint, total elbow replacement or arthrodesis is recommended. Arthrodesis is a solution for pain but severe functional issues remain.

A complete understanding of the pathogenesis of elbow dysplasia will become possible after research, analysis and processing of data collected from force plate analysis. Only then will it be possible for all surgical options to be reconsidered, possibly giving rise to new modalities for the treatment of this common disease that causes chronic pain and is severely debilitating for affected dogs.
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> Figure 8. The specialized proximal abducting ulnar osteotomy metal plate with a «step» used in the PAUL technique (KYON, Zurich).

> Figure 9. Fixing the plate on the internal ulnar surface on a cadaver. The central translocation of the proximal part of the ulna results in abduction of the antebrachium (KYON, Zurich).
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